STAT

STAT

STAT

STAT STAT

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET						
SUBJECT: (Optional)			·····			
Capitol H	ill Pol	ice				
FROM:			EXTENSION	NO.		
Chairman, DTSCS				DATE		
Girat Tingit; 171000				5 May 1982		
TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)	DATE		OFFICER'S	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from		
	RECEIVED	FORWARDED	INITIALS	to whom. Draw a line across column after each com		
Chairman, SECOM 7 D 31 Hqs	Ĉ ķ∵,	(3.3)	R√.	Bob		
2.				Thouses -		
			12.5.	Thouses. Thouses. Jestaing didn't mind work Jim not sure 3 a wests him but 3 content to want the report before I want Thanks,		
				Oldert mins		
5.		,		weets him but		
6.				content to want		
7.			** -	the report del		
8.) weight		
9.				/ Wants'		
10.			<u>.</u>	- Hun		
11.						
12.						
13.	<u> </u>					
14.						
15.						

610 USE PREVIOUS EDITIONS

FORM I-79

5 MAY 1982

MEMORANDUM FOR:	Chairman, Security Committee	•			
FROM:		STAT			
	Chairman, Technical Surveill Countermeasures Subcommittee				
	Countermeasures Subcommittee	?			
SUBJECT:	Capitol Hill Police	STAT			
	o thank you for your kind wor	rds regarding the STAT			
in connection with the survey being conducted on Capitol Hill.					
Both of these individuals are professionals of the first rank and					
I would expect nothing but the best from them. Nonetheless, it is always gratifying to hear that others are appreciative of the					
efforts made by ones' personnel.					
2. With res	pect to the suggestion that	responsibility for			
Audio Countermeas	ures (ACM) coverage of Intel:	ligence Community			
	tol Hill be shifted to the Ca is entirely too premature to				
in this regard.	With the survey still ongoin	g, the report yet to			
be written and re	commendations still to be made	de, I do not feel it			
would be appropriactivity. There	ate to anticipate the final are many variables and nuance	es related to the			
conduct of techni	cal security activities in t	ne unique envir o n-			
ment of Capitol Hill, many of which had not been appreciated prior to the current survey. Based on what we have learned to					
date. I remain unconvinced that abrogation of our individual					
responsibilities for ACM coverage in favor of the CHP is really					
a practical alternative. Certainly under current circumstances, the CHP are not in a position to take on such a massive task.					
As implied in your memorandum, there are several conditions					
which the CHP would have to meet before we would be willing to turn over coverage of our principals to them and at this stage					
I do not think we can be sure that all of these conditions can					
be met.		STAT			
3. Regardle	ess of the final decision on	this matter, insofar			
as permitting the CHP to attend regular meetings of the Technical Surveillance Countermeasures Subcommittee (TSCS), I do not feel					
that this is necessary nor desirable. TSCS is a body of and for					
the Intelligence Community and the CHP certainly cannot be defined					
as a part of that Community. I feel we have already significantly					
		STAT			
	,				
	The second secon				

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2011/09/02 : CIA-RDP87T00623R000100030057-2

COMPLETE

diluted the intelligence orientation of the group by including as observers such organizations as ICA. By permitting CHP participation, I believe we would further exacerbate this trend. The matters considered by TSCS are issues arising from activities carried out by members of the Intelligence Community which would not be pertinent to the CHP and in some instances should not be shared with anyone outside the Intelligence Community. There is already considerable reluctance on the part of some members to discuss sensitive topics because of the broader membership. At the same time, participation in TSCS meetings would be unlikely to increase the effectiveness or efficiency of the CHP in any measurable terms.

STAT

4. In regard to loaning equipment to the CHP, this could certainly be done on a temporary basis. However, the loan of such equipment without adequate training in its operation would be fruitless. In the long run, if the CHP were to assume responsibility for this activity, they would be expected to acquire their own equipment and not rely on the Intelligence Community as supplier. Captain Bell of CHP supports this concept. Certainly we will be able to provide advice and guidance on the acquisition of the appropriate equipment, however, I do not feel this needs to be formalized in the sense of providing them with "advisories".

STAT

5. Finally, rest assured that if the recommendation of the survey team is that the CHP be tasked with the responsibility for providing ACM coverage for the Intelligence Community on Capitol Hill, TSCS will thoughtfully and thoroughly consider such recommendation. It goes without saying that the Technical Security Division of CIA, at least, would not turn over its responsibilities for ACM coverage of CIA principals unless and until it were entirely satisfied as to the competence of the CHP.

STAT STAT

2